UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

A	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/724,143	12/01/2003	Maury G. Van Vliet	369-2US	1119	
	<b></b>	7590 02/23/200 AMBERT LLP	7	EXAMINER		
	c/o Berger & A		CHAMBERS, A MICHAEL			
2711 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY Suite 401A Airport Plaza One				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ARLINGTON,			3753		
SH	ORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
	3 MO	PATA	02/23/2007	PAP	PER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

				احا			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/724,143	VAN VLIET ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		A. Michael Chambers	3753				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
WHI( - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWNS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		:					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 D	ecember 2006.					
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	action is non-final.					
3)[	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.						
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	•					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)[	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected.		i				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	•r.					
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).				
۵,	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received					
	Certified copies of the priority document.		ion No.				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
	application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•				
* (	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachmer	nt(s)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal F					
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/724,143

Art Unit: 3753

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is response to an amendment filed December 5, 2007. Claim 1 has been amended by adding the recitation of the tank is for the "...storage of fuel...". Claims 1-23 are pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co., 148 USPQ 459*, that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
    - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or unobviousness.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/724,143

Page 3

Art Unit: 3753

5. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watkins, Jr. in view of Patterson et al. The claims are readable on the patent to Watkins, Jr. with the exception of the recitation of the cylindrical tank being double-walled as taught by Figure 2 of Patterson et al. Note in particular the pump 80 and coacting filter elements shown in Figures 3 and 4 and discussed in column 4, lines 44+. Disposition of the respective elements relative to the chassis is clearly shown. The particular material of construction of the tank 11 is deemed design choice. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the tank of Watkins, Jr, to be double-walled in order to more safely transport the fuel. With regard to method claims 20-23, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to operate the modified tank truck of Watkins, Jr. as above by the recited method steps. Applicant's remarks and addition of the "storage of fuel" limitation in claim 1 were considered, however, not deemed persuasive. By applicant's remarks he states that the patent to Watkins, Jr. teaches a tank for the storage of fuel (i.e., jet fuel) (see abstract). The patent to Patterson et al is applied as a teaching of a double walled tank. The motivation to modify is that the double walling of the tank of Watkins, Jr. (as stated in the previous office action) in view of Patterson et al would allow the fuel to more safely stored for transport. As is the case with the instant application the modifying patent to Patterson et al is a double walled cryogenic because of among other reasons their concern for safety (leakage) Remarks drawn to benefits of applicant's tank in the highway industry were considered.

## **Conclusion**

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/724,143 Page 4

Art Unit: 3753

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to A. Michael Chambers whose telephone number is 571-272-4908. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur. 6:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Keasel can be reached on 571-272-4929. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/724,143

Art Unit: 3753

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

A. Michael Chambers Primary Examiner Art Unit 3753 Page 5

amc 02/15//07